

**FINAL MINUTES OF A MEETING OF PLANNING COMMITTEE PENNARD COMMUNITY  
COUNCIL HELD ON WEDNESDAY 12<sup>TH</sup> DECEMBER 2018  
AT PENNARD COMMUNITY HALL (Small hall)  
at 7.00pm**

**Present:** Cllr Ralph Cook(chair), Cllr Susan Rodaway, Cllr Lynda James, A member of the public.

- 1. Apologies:** Cllr Arthur Rogers, Cllr Darren Hickery, Cllr Jeff Rogers, Cllr Sally Rogers, Cllr Jason Thomas, Cllr Richard Killick, Cllr Charlotte Toft, Cllr Wes Weeks.
- 2. Declarations of Interest:** None.
- 3. To agree submission from Pennard public meeting to Public Consultation on proposed changes to the LDP (Local Development Plan)**
  - a. Pennard Ward boundary should not be changed. MAC 335, - we object to the amended boundary of site H5.4
  - b. New Appendix 6A point 3. Definitions c. – we object to the definition of “the locality” as this is too disparate, the locality should be the Ward of Pennard plus the immediately adjacent wards.
  - c. MAC 363 – we object to the removal of the word “rural” in respect to policy H5A.
  - d. MAC 365 – we believe that Gower Fringe SHPZ should be subject to the same standard as Gower SHPZ within the LDP.
  - e. MAC174, amend policy H3 – we object to the removal of “affordable housing will be required to be for at the rate of” in favor of “affordable housing being sought at the target percentage”. It is diluting the requirement of the developer to provide much needed affordable housing in this area and this is not acceptable to us, especially as we believe we should retain 100% affordable housing as currently in UDP, if site must be included.
  - f. MAC175, 2.5.15 and 2.5.16 – the use of the word “target” in place of “minimum” is unacceptable.
  - g. The words “a contribution in an open and transparent manner” in section 2.5.20 should be retained.
  - h. 2.5.20 “NEW a” should be removed.
  - i. 2.5.22 – what are the exceptional circumstances and who will arbitrate? We have concerns about the powers of the developer to manipulate “exceptional circumstances “for own benefit.

**Proposed Seconded and agreed by all**

- 4. To Agree submission from Pennard Community Council to Public Consultation on proposed changes to the LDP (Local Development Plan)**
  - a. Pennard Ward boundary should not be changed. MAC 335, - we object to the amended boundary of site H5.4
  - b. New Appendix 6A point 3. Definitions c. – we object to the definition of “the locality” as this is too disparate, the locality should be the Ward of Pennard plus the immediately adjacent wards.
  - c. MAC 363 – we object to the removal of the word “rural” in respect to policy H5A.
  - d. MAC 365 – we believe that Gower Fringe SHPZ should be subject to the same standard as Gower SHPZ within the LDP.
  - e. MAC174, amend policy H3 – we object to the removal of “affordable housing will be required to be for at the rate of” in favor of “affordable housing being sought at the target percentage”. It is diluting the requirement of the developer to provide much needed affordable housing in this area and this is not acceptable to us, especially as we believe we should retain 100% affordable housing as currently in UDP, if site must be included.
  - f. MAC175, 2.5.15 and 2.5.16 – the use of the word “target” in place of “minimum” is unacceptable.
  - g. The words “a contribution in an open and transparent manner” in section 2.5.20 should be retained.
  - h. 2.5.20 “NEW a” should be removed.
  - i. 2.5.22 – what are the exceptional circumstances and who will arbitrate? We have concerns about the powers of the developer to manipulate “exceptional circumstances “ for own benefit.

**Proposed Seconded and agreed by all**

The meeting closed at 7.53pm

\*\*\*\*\*